Principal Component Analysis for Dependent Functional Data: Incorporating Spatial and Temporal Structures

> Sophie Dabo-Niang CRM-Université de Montreal, CNRS Univ. Lille, UMR 8524 Painleve, Inria Modal Sophie.dabo@univ-lille.fr

Journées de Statistique et Optimisation, Perpignan, 2-4 April 2025

### **Functional Data**

### Usual Setting

- X is a random function valued in a space ( $\mathcal{F}$ , d) of eventually infinite dimension.
- \$\mathcal{F}\$ is typically the space \$\mathbb{L}^2\$ of square integrable functions defined on some finite interval \$\mathcal{D} = [a, b]\$.
- *n* i.i.d. functions  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \sim X$  are observed on  $\mathcal{D}$



### Spatio-temporal pollution data



Source : Frévent, Ahmed, Dabo-Niang, Genin (2023). " Investigating spatial scan statistics for multivariate functional data".

JRSS C.

# Spatial Acoustic Data (Sv)

- 2 dimensions : vertically (depths), horizontally (Elementary Sampling Unit; ESU) in distance (here 0.1 nmi).
- 3 descriptors (depth in meter, thickness in meter, and relative density (mean s<sub>A</sub>)) using Matecho.



### Echogram representing the acoustic intensities

Source : Kande et al. (2024). "Investigating multivariate spatial functional data analysis for acoustic data". Ecological Informatics

### Repeated functional data (Finger Movements)



Source : Moindjie et al. (2025). Fusion regression methods with repeated functional data. CSDA

- Functionality allows broader spectrum of models
  - Estimating model parameters using a single sequence may be limited
  - Time series analysis inherently operates on discrete data, with time stamps assumed to be equally spaced and fixed
- Biological structures are synonymous with Functionality
  - For proteins, the sequence leads to folding (structure), which ultimately determines their function.

Comprehending functions necessitates a grasp of structures.

Structure analysis involves a foundation of mathematical representations followed by the application of probabilistic superstructures. FDA finds application across all branches of science and engineering.

- Meteorology/environment : temperature prediction
- **Computer Vision** : depth sensing, activity recognition, vision-based automation, and the analysis of video data.
- **Computational Biology** : Involves studying complex biomolecular structures and understanding the relationship between organism shapes and functionality.
- **Biometrics and Human Identification** : Includes recognition of human face, body, gait, etc.
- Wearables, Mobility, Fitness : Utilized in devices like Fitbit, sleep studies, and motion capture (MoCap) technology.
- Electricity : Forecasting electricity consumption.
- Mining, natural sciences, economics, finance, etc

# **Historical Perspective**

### An old topic, lots of work already in the past

### "FDA" by Jim Ramsay and colleagues in late 1980s

... 1982

1997



### Celebrating 100 years of the functional linear model

### FDA has roots going back to the work of Fisher (1924)





R.A. Fisher in 1924

Disregarding, then, both the arithmetical and the statistical difficulties, which a direct attack on the problem would encounter, we may recognise that whereas with q subdivisions of the year, the linear regression equations of the wheat crop upon the rainfall would be of the form

$$w = c + a_1 r_1 + a_2 r_2 + \ldots + a_q r_q$$

where  $r_1, r_2, ..., r_q$  are the quantities of rain in the several intervals of time, and  $a_1, ..., a_q$  are the regression coefficients, so if infinitely small subdivisions of time were taken, we should replace the linear regression function by a *regression integral* of the form

$$w = c + \int_{a}^{t} ar dt$$
, . . . . . . . . (III)

where r dt is the rain falling in the element of time dt; the integral is taken over the whole period concerned, and a is a *continuous* function of the time t, which it is our object to evaluate from the statistical data.

Thanks to the "Historical FDA elements" by Gilbert Saporta (2024)

### Celebrating 50 years of functional PCA



#### Statistical and numerical methods of harmonic analysis by Deville (1974)

### Dependency-dimension-structures-nature of sample

- Shapes, complexe structures, multivariate,...
- Non random sample

• Time/Spatially dependent series : everything is related to everything else, but near things are more related than distant things (Tolber, 1970) Data as observation of a random variable valued in a (complex) space of functions :

$$\mathbf{X} = \left\{ \left(X^1(t_1), ..., X^p(t_p)\right)^\top : t_j \in \mathcal{T}_j, j = 1, ..., p \right\},$$

$$X_{t_i}: \mathcal{P}_j \to \mathcal{S}_j$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{j} &\subseteq \mathbb{R}, \ \mathcal{S}_{j} = \mathbb{R} \ (\mathsf{curve}) \\ \mathcal{T}_{j} &\subseteq \mathbb{R}, \ \mathcal{S}_{j} = \{e_{1}, e_{2}, \dots, e_{K}\} \ (\mathsf{sequence}) \\ \mathcal{T}_{j} &\subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}, \ \mathcal{S}_{j} = \mathbb{R} \ (\mathsf{image/surface}) \end{aligned}$$

Multivariate functions, images :  $f : [0,1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ 



Source : Srivastava

## Structures



Source : Srivastava

## Gaming, Remote sensing, Mobile depth sensing ...



Source : Srivastava

- PCA of time/spatial functional series
- the considered sample is composed of :
  - spatially dependent observations, collected by random sampling process
- specificity of the proposed methods : taking into consideration the sample nature

applications to regression/classification

FPCA in usual setting and applications to supervised learning

X is a random function valued in  $\mathbb{L}^2$ .

• Mean function :

$$\mu(t) = E(X(t))$$

• Covariance function :

$$c(t,u) = E\Big((X(t) - \mu(t))(X(u) - \mu(u))\Big)$$

### Sample mean, standard deviation and covariance

Pointwise mean :

$$\bar{X}_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(t)$$

Pointwise standard deviation :

$$S_n(t) = \sqrt{rac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n \left(X_i(t) - ar{X}_n(t)
ight)^2}$$

Pointwise covariance function :

$$\hat{c}_n(t,u) = rac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n \Big(X_i(t) - ar{X}_n(t)\Big)\Big(X_i(u) - ar{X}_n(u)\Big)$$

- $\bar{X}_n(t)$  and  $\hat{c}_n(t, u)$  are estimators of the population parameters  $\mu(t)$  and c(t, u).
- *c*<sub>n</sub>(t, u) is interpreted in a similar way as the usual variance-covariance matrix and is largely used in FDA.

### Let $X_1, ..., X_n$ be i.i.d (independent and identically distributed) observations of X

# Sample mean, standard deviation and covariance of Brownian Motion



# FPCA in usual setting and applications to supervised learning

Modes of variability (PCA)

### **Covariance function and Principal Component Analysis**

**Functional Principal Component Analysis (FPCA)**, allows to represent a square integrable random function X as :

$$X(t) = \mu(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_j v_j(t)$$

(Karhunen-Loéve (KL) expansion)

• v<sub>j</sub> are the eigenfunctions and solutions of

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} c(t, u) v_j(u) du = \lambda_j v_j(t)$$

- $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots$  are the **eigenvalues**.
- The random variables ξ<sub>j</sub> are the scores

$$\xi_j = \langle X - \mu, v_j 
angle = \int_{\mathcal{D}} (X(t) - \mu(t)) v_j(t) dt$$

λ<sub>j</sub> is the variance of X in the principal direction v<sub>j</sub>

The KL deccomposition is commonly attributed to Kari Karhunen (1946) and Michel Loève (1946) but it has been obtained earlier by D.D.Kosambi (1943)



D. D. KOSAMBE  $\vec{h}(s,t) = \Im \sigma_{i}^{2} \phi_{i}(s) \phi_{i}(t),$ The ¢ are the orthonormal characteristic (eigen-) functions of the kernel, of the corresponding characteristic values  $(=1/\lambda)$  in the notation of 2), all positive with  $\Sigma a_i^+$  convergent (2, 111). The orthogonal or independent co-ordinates for any function f(t) are obviously the "Fourier" co-efficients x1, x2,..., x..., with  $x_i = \int (t) \phi_i(t) dt, \quad f(t) = \Sigma x_i \phi_i(t).$ 

### FPCA in practice and dimension reduction by FPCA

 Use the Estimated Functional Principal Components (EFPC's) v<sub>j</sub> as basis functions for X<sub>i</sub> :

$$X_i(t)pproxar{X}_n(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{
ho_n}\hat{\xi}_{ij}\hat{v}_j(t)$$

- Estimated scores :  $\hat{\xi}_{ij} = \int_{\mathcal{D}} (X_i(t) \bar{X}_n(t)) \hat{v}_j(t) dt$
- EFPC's  $\hat{v}_j$  are **orthonormal**, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \hat{v}_j(t) \hat{v}_k(t) dt = \begin{cases} 1, & j = k \\ 0, & j \neq k. \end{cases}$$

Choice of the dimension p<sub>n</sub>

### Application to Canadian weather data

### First smooth the data



# Principal components (eigen) functions



Scores



### Approximation with the first p = 3 PCA basis functions



# PCA of spatial multivariate functional data

- We consider daily temperature data recorded at *n* stations from the meteorological monitoring network.
- We have *M* data at each station corresponding to daily records of maximum temperature obtained from a given period
- Prediction of the whole temperature curve at a given station
- The spatio-temporal dataset could be analyzed by using, space-time geostatistics (space-time kriging, see Cressie and Wikle, 2011).

### Geospatial functional data



### Modeling spatial functional data

- Modelization of functional data basically focuses on independent data.
- In many applied domains, data are spatially correlated functions : economic, environmental, hydrology, ...

Example : curves of daily concentration of ozone at two near stations

- Some works are developed to deal with spatially correlated functional data
  - Functional geostatistical data :

PCA and clustering : Kuenzer et al. (2022), Vandewalle et al. (2022), Frevent et. al (2023),... PCA and Moran statistics : Assan et al. (2019), Darbi et al (2022) ,..., Kriging methods : Monestiez aand Nerini (2008), Giraldo et al. (2010), Bohorquez et al. (2016), ... Nonparametric regression : Ternynck (2014), Dabo-Niang et al. (2011, 2018, 2020),...

Lattice functional data : less developed

Ruiz-Medina (2012) : prediction of SAR hilbertian processes

Pineda-Rios and Giraldo (2016), Zhang et al. (2016); Ahmed et al. (2021); Huang et al. (2018) : FLMs with SAR disturbance process

### Basic notations for functional geo-spatial data

- X = (X<sub>s</sub>(.), s ∈ ℝ<sup>N</sup>), a measurable spatial process N ≥ 1, defined on some probability space (Ω, A, P)
- $X_s$  is valued in a space  $(\mathcal{X}, d)$  of eventually infinite dimension
- d(.,.) is some measure of proximity, e.g. a metric or a semi-metric
- $\mathcal{X}$  is a space of functions, typically  $\mathcal{T} = [0, T]$ .
- X is observed at a set of locations  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  of cardinal  $n, S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$ ,  $s_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $i = 1 \ldots n$  and a set of time points  $\mathcal{J} = \{t_1, \ldots, t_M\}$ , M
- *E* the set of the  $n \times M$  discrete observations,  $E = \{x_{s_i}(t_j), s_i \in S, t_j \in \mathcal{J}\}.$
- Prediction of a whole curve  $X_{\mathbf{s}_0} = \{X_{\mathbf{s}_0}(t), t \in \mathcal{T}\}$

- The discrete data  $\{x_{s_i}(t), s_i \in S, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$  are converted into curves  $\{X_{s_i}(t), s_i \in S, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$  by using smoothing methods (e.g. Splines).
- $\{X_{s_i}(t), s_i \in S, t \in \mathcal{T}\}$  are valued in  $\mathcal{X} = L^2[0, T]$
- Expand each  $X_{s_i}(.)$  in terms of basis functions (here FPC).
- Take into acount the spatial dimension into the FPCA

### Spatial dependence

### Weakly stationary functional process

(i)  $\mathbb{E}(X_{s}(t)) = \mathbb{E}(X_{0}(t)) = \mu(t), t \in \mathcal{T}$  does not depend on **s** with **0** the zero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ (ii) for all **s**, **h**  $\in$  *S*, and  $t, s \in \mathcal{T}$ ;

$$C_{h}(t,s) := Cov\left(X_{h}(t), X_{0}(s)\right) = Cov\left(X_{s+h}(t), X_{s}(s)\right)$$

depends only on the spatial lag.

### Variogram function

$$2\gamma_{t,t'}(\mathbf{h}) = \operatorname{Var}(X_{\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{h}}(t) - X_{\mathbf{s}}(t'))$$
  
 $\gamma_t(\mathbf{h}) = \gamma_{t,t}(\mathbf{h})$ 

# Trace Variogram function

$$\gamma(\mathbf{h}) = \int_{\mathcal{T}} \gamma_t(\mathbf{h}) dt$$
 $2\gamma(\mathbf{h}) = E \int_{\mathcal{T}} (X_{\mathbf{s}_i}(t) - X_{\mathbf{s}_j}(t))^2 dt, \ \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{s}_i - \mathbf{s}_j, \ \mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{s}_j \in S$ 

### Spectral Spatial FPCA (SFPCA)

Kuenzer et al. (2020), Si-Ahmed et al. (2024).

Let S be a regular grid (rectangular domain) of  $\mathbb{Z}^N$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^X_{\theta}$  be the spectral density operator of  $X_s$  with kernel :

$$f_{\theta}^{X}(t,s) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}} C_{\mathbf{h}}(t,s) \exp(-i\mathbf{h}^{\top}\theta)$$
(1)

$$\mathcal{F}_{\theta}^{X} = \sum_{m \ge 1} \lambda_{j,m}(\theta) \varphi_{m}(\theta) \otimes \varphi_{m}(\theta)$$
(2)

where  $\lambda_m(\theta) \geq \lambda_m(\theta) \geq ... \geq 0$ 

$$\varphi_m(t|\theta) = \sum_{\mathbf{l}\in\mathbb{Z}^N} \phi_{m,\mathbf{l}}(t) \exp(-i\mathbf{l}^\top \theta).$$
(3)

The functional principal component score is defined as :

$$\xi_{m,\mathbf{s}} := \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in S} \left\langle X_{\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{l}}, \phi_{m,\mathbf{l}} \right\rangle \tag{4}$$

## SFPCA

Karhunen-Loève-Kosambi spatial expansion :

$$X_{\mathbf{s}}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} X_{m,\mathbf{s}}(t), \ X_{m,\mathbf{s}}(t) := \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \xi_{m,\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{l}} \phi_{m,\mathbf{l}}(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{T}$$
(5)

The spectral density operator estimate :

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\theta}^{X} := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N}} \sum_{\|\mathbf{h}\| \le \mathbf{q}} w(\mathbf{h}/\mathbf{q}) \widehat{C}_{\mathbf{h}} e^{-i\mathbf{h}^{\top}\theta}$$
(6)

 $\widehat{C}_{h}$  the sample autocovariance operators, w(.) a weight function

$$\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{h}} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mathbf{s} \in M_{\mathbf{h},\mathbf{n}}} \left( X_{\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{h}} - \bar{X} \right) \otimes \left( X_{\mathbf{s}} - \bar{X} \right)$$
(7)

with  $M_{\mathbf{h},\mathbf{n}} = {\mathbf{s} : 1 \leq \mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{s}_i + h_i \leq n_i, \forall 1 \leq i \leq N}$ . If the set  $M_{\mathbf{h},\mathbf{n}}$  is empty,  $\widehat{C}_{\mathbf{h}} = 0$ ,  $n = \prod_{i=1}^{N} n_i$ .

$$X_{\mathbf{s}}(t) pprox \sum_{m=1}^{K} \hat{X}_{m,\mathbf{s}}(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{T},$$
  
 $\hat{X}_{m,\mathbf{s}}(t) \coloneqq \sum_{\|\mathbf{l}\|_{\infty} \leq L} \hat{\xi}_{m,\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{l}} \hat{\phi}_{m,\mathbf{l}},$   
assuming  $1 + 2L \leq \mathbf{s}_i \leq n_i - 2L$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ .

### Daily temperature data (year 2001)



# Correlations



# Space-time filters



# Spectral Principal Component Analysis of Multivariate Spatial Functional Data

Let the covariance operator  $C_j := \mathbb{E}[(X^{(j)} - \mu^j) \otimes (X^{(j)} - \mu^j)]$  of  $X^j$ 

$$(C_j f)(t) = \int_{\mathcal{T}_j} c_j(s,t) f(s) ds, \quad f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{T}_j), \ t \in \mathcal{T}_j$$
 (8)

### Weakly stationary functional process

(i)  $\mathbb{E}(X_{\mathbf{s}}^{(j)}(t)) = \mathbb{E}(X_{\mathbf{0}}^{(j)}(t)) = \mu^{j}(t), t \in \mathcal{T}_{j}$  with **0** being the zero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ (ii) for all  $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{h} \in \mathbf{D}$ , and  $t, s \in \mathcal{T}_{j}$ ;  $c_{j,\mathbf{h}}(t,s) := Cov\left(X_{\mathbf{h}}^{j}(t), X_{\mathbf{0}}^{j}(s)\right) = Cov\left(X_{\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{h}}^{j}(t), X_{\mathbf{s}}^{j}(s)\right)$ 

# Spectral Principal Component Analysis of Multivariate Spatial Functional Data

Let  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta}^{\chi^{(j)}}$  be the spectral density operator of  $X_{\rm s}^{(j)}$  with the following kernel :

$$f_{ heta}^{X^{(j)}}(t,s) := rac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{Z}^N} c_{j,\mathbf{h}}(t,s) \exp(-i\mathbf{h}^{ op} \theta)$$
 (9)

$$\mathcal{F}_{\theta}^{\chi(j)} = \sum_{m \ge 1} \lambda_{j,m}(\theta) \varphi_{j,m}(\theta) \otimes \varphi_{j,m}(\theta)$$
(10)

where  $\lambda_{j,m}(\theta) \geq \lambda_{j,m}(\theta) \geq ... \geq 0$ 

$$\varphi_{j,m}(t|\theta) = \sum_{\mathbf{l}\in\mathbb{Z}^N} \phi_{m,\mathbf{l}}^{(j)}(t) \exp(-i\mathbf{l}^{\top}\theta).$$
(11)

The functional principal component score is defined as :

$$\xi_{m,\mathbf{s}}^{(j)} := \sum_{\mathbf{l}\in\mathbf{D}} \left\langle X_{\mathbf{s}-\mathbf{l}}^{(j)}, \phi_{m,\mathbf{l}}^{(j)} \right\rangle \tag{12}$$

# Spectral Principal Component Analysis of Multivariate Spatial Functional Data (SMFPCA)

The Karhunen-Loève spatial expansion of  $X_{s}^{(j)}$  is given by :

$$X_{\mathbf{s}}^{(j)}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} X_{m,\mathbf{s}}^{(j)}(t) \quad t \in \mathcal{T}_j \text{ with}$$

$$\tag{13}$$

$$X_{m,\mathbf{s}}^{(j)}(t) := \sum_{\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{Z}^N} \xi_{m,\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{l}}^{(j)} \phi_{m,\mathbf{l}}^{(j)}(t)$$

The spectral density operator is estimated as :

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\theta}^{\chi^{(j)}} := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \sum_{\|\mathbf{h}\| \le \mathbf{q}} w(\mathbf{h}/\mathbf{q}) \widehat{C}_{j,\mathbf{h}} e^{-i\mathbf{h}^\top \theta}$$
(14)

 $\widehat{C}_{j,h}$  the sample autocovariance operators.

## SMFPCA Methodology

The multivariate eigenfunctions are :

$$\hat{\psi}_{m,\mathbf{s}}^{(j)}(t_j) \approx \sum_{l=1}^{M_j} \left[ \hat{c}_m \right]_l^{(j)} \hat{\phi}_{l,\mathbf{s}}^{(j)}(t_j) \tag{15}$$

$$t_j \in \mathcal{T}_j, \mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{D}, \ m = 1, ..., M_+$$

Multivariate PCA scores

$$\hat{\rho}_{m,\mathbf{s}} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{l=1}^{M_j} \left[ \hat{c}_m \right]_l^{(j)} \hat{\xi}_{l,\mathbf{s}}^{(j)}$$
(16)

$$X_{\mathbf{s}}^{(j)}(t_j) pprox \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} \hat{X}_{m,\mathbf{s}}^{(j)}(t_j), \quad t_j \in \mathcal{T}_j, \text{ with } \hat{X}_{m,\mathbf{s}}^{(j)}(t_j) := \sum_{\|\mathbf{l}\|_{\infty} \le L} \hat{\xi}_{m,\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{l}}^{(j)} \hat{\phi}_{m,\mathbf{l}}^{(j)}$$
 (17)

## Spatial MFPCA compare to MFPCA (Happ and Greven (2018))

$$\mathsf{NMSE}(M_{j}) = \frac{\sum_{s \in \mathbf{D}_{n}} \left\| X_{s}^{(j)} - \sum_{m=1}^{M_{j}} \widehat{X}_{m,s}^{(j)} \right\|^{2}}{\sum_{s \in \mathbf{D}_{n}} \left\| X_{s}^{(j)} \right\|^{2}}$$
(18)

 $D_n = \{s \in \mathbb{Z}^N : 1 \le s_i \le n_i \text{ for all } 1 \le i \le n\}$  represents a region where the mean is calculated

$$\text{NMSE}_{\text{spat}}^{*}(M_{j}) = 1 - \frac{\sum_{m \leq M_{j}} \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^{N}} \hat{\lambda}_{j,m}(\theta) d\theta}{\sum_{m \geq 1} \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^{N}} \hat{\lambda}_{j,m}(\theta) d\theta}$$
(19)

## Spatial MFPCA compare to MFPCA (Happ and Greven (2018))

1. NMSE and  $\rm NMSE^*$  results obtained by SMFPCA and MFPCA with 2 functional time series (2000, 2001).

Cumulative PCA	PC1		PC2		PC3	
Spatial consideration	Spatial	Ordinary	Spatial	Ordinary	Spatial	Ordinary
NMSE 2000	0.4796	0.5416	0.3396	0.5147	0.2103	0.3749
NMSE* 2000	0.4356	0.5156	0.2596	0.3342	0.1664	0.2695
NMSE 2001	0.5178	0.6016	0.3665	0.4121	0.3578	0.3627
NMSE* 2001	0.5061	0.6021	0.2709	0.3788	0.1678	0.2686

2. NMSE and  $\rm NMSE^*$  results obtained by SMFPCA and MFPCA considering 3 series (1996, 1998, 1999)

Cumulative PCA	PC1		PC2		PC3	
Spatial consideration	Spatial	Ordinary	Spatial	Ordinary	Spatial	Ordinary
NMSE 1996	0.5090	0.6364	0.5069	0.5215	0.3223	0.5029
NMSE <sup>*</sup> 1996	0.4523	0.5358	0.2786	0.3772	0.1794	0.2851
NMSE 1998	0.6980	0.7111	0.3418	0.5812	0.3026	0.5069
NMSE <sup>*</sup> 1998	0.4476	0.5791	0.2624	0.3855	0.1640	0.2837
NMSE 1999	0.4377	0.4762	0.3053	0.3941	0.2758	0.3237
NMSE <sup>*</sup> 1999	0.4254	0.4744	0.2739	0.3520	0.1889	0.2778

Functional Kriging : Prediction of the whole temperature curve at a given station

Let us suppose an isotropic variogram :  $\gamma(\mathbf{h}) = \gamma(\|\mathbf{h}\|)$ 

The trace-variogram estimate is

$$\hat{\gamma}_n(\mathbf{h}) = \frac{1}{2\#N(\mathbf{h})} \sum_{\mathbf{s}_j, \mathbf{s}_j \in N(\mathbf{h})} \int_{\mathcal{T}} (X_{\mathbf{s}_i}(t) - X_{\mathbf{s}_j}(t))^2 dt,$$

where  $N(\mathbf{h}) = \{(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{s}_j) : h - \Delta \le ||\mathbf{s}_i - \mathbf{s}_j|| \le \mathbf{h} + \Delta; \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n\}.$ 

### **Ordinary functional Kriging**

$$\hat{X}_{s_0} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i X_{s_i}$$
  
 $E(\hat{X}_{s_0}) = E(X_{s_0})$  and  $E \int_{\mathcal{T}} (\hat{X}_{s_0}(t) - X_{s_0}(t))^2 dt$  minimum

The  $(\lambda_i)_{i=1,n}$  are solutions of the system (*m* is a Lagrange multiplier)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma(\|\mathbf{s}_1 - \mathbf{s}_2\|) & \dots & \gamma(\|\mathbf{s}_1 - \mathbf{s}_n\|) & 1 \\ \gamma(\|\mathbf{s}_1 - \mathbf{s}_2\|) & 0 & \dots & \gamma(\|\mathbf{s}_2 - \mathbf{s}_n\|) & 1 \\ & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \gamma(\|\mathbf{s}_1 - \mathbf{s}_n\|) & \gamma(\|\mathbf{s}_2 - \mathbf{s}_n\|) & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \dots \\ \lambda_n \\ m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(\|\mathbf{s}_0 - \mathbf{s}_1\|) \\ \gamma(\|\mathbf{s}_0 - \mathbf{s}_2\|) \\ \dots \\ \gamma(\|\mathbf{s}_0 - \mathbf{s}_n\|) \\ \dots \\ \gamma(\|\mathbf{s}_0 - \mathbf{s}_n\|) \end{pmatrix}$$

Kriging Variance

$$\sigma_{OK}^{2}(\mathbf{s}_{0}) = E((\hat{X}_{\mathbf{s}_{0}} - X_{\mathbf{s}_{0}})^{2}) = m + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\gamma(\|\mathbf{s}_{i} - \mathbf{s}_{0}\|)$$

### Other dependencies



• Generalized functional dynamic PCA : (Khoo et al. 2024).

Thank you for listening